



Senior Conformation Judges Association, Inc.

Wallace H. Pedé V.P./Executive Secretary 7200 Tanager St., Springfield, Va. 22150 (703) 451-5656

Melbourne T.L. Downing
President
Wallace H. Pedé
V.P./Executive Secretary
Neelha Robinson
Treasurer
Chris Abraham
Secretary
Alvin E. Maurer, Jr.
Legal Counsel
Board of Directors
Roy L. Ayers
Garland Bell
Mildred K. Bryant
Glenn T. Fancy
James E. Frank
Edward M. Gilbert, Jr.
Joseph E. Gregory
William L. Kendrick
Dr. T. Allen Kirk
Janice H. Moran

RESULTS OF THE AUGUST 1988 QUESTIONNAIRE

REFERENCE #2 BELOW: A MOST SIGNIFICANT FACTOR - OF ALL JUDGES WHO PARTICIPATED IN THE "HANDS ON" TEST, 81.3% WERE NOT IN FAVOR OF IT. THIS DISAPPROVAL RATE IS ONLY 4.5% LESS THAN THAT OF ALL OF THE JUDGES WHO DID NOT PARTICIPATE IN THE "HANDS ON" TEST.

Yes No

- | | |
|---|---|
| <p><u>11.7%</u> <u>88.3%</u></p> <p><u>14.2%</u> <u>85.8%</u></p> <p><u>50.2%</u> <u>49.8%</u></p> <p><u>53.6%</u> <u>46.4%</u>
<u>80.9%</u> <u>19.1%</u></p> <p><u>13.1%</u> <u>86.9%</u></p> <p><u>15.2%</u> <u>84.8%</u>
<u>48.4%</u> <u>51.6%</u></p> <p><u>95.2%</u> <u>4.8%</u></p> <p><u>97.2%</u> <u>2.8%</u></p> | <p>1. Are you in favor of the "Hands On" testing as outlined in Terry Stacy's letter of 7/14/88?</p> <p>2. Have you actively participated in a "Hands On" test?</p> <p>3. For judges with less than 1 group: Would you object if senior judges had criteria somewhat less stringent than other judges (senior judges defined as 10 or more years of judging and approved for one or more groups)?</p> <p>4. For judges approved for 2 or more groups:
(a) With the present system, do you intend to apply for additional breeds?
(b) Do you believe senior judges should have a less stringent requirement for additional breeds?</p> <p>5. Have written tests improved the quality of judging?</p> <p>6. For members who have taken a written test:
(a) Compared to your other breeds, are you a better judge for the breeds you were tested on?
(b) Do you remember the standards you were tested on well enough to pass the same test today?</p> <p>7. Do you believe the numerous positions presented by the SCJA Bd. to the AKC are collectively representative of your views?</p> <p>8. Do you believe the SCJA position papers should be made public from time to time so that the entire Dog Fancy is aware of what's happening to their judges?</p> <p>9. Considering all aspects of judging today as compared to 5 years ago, are your feelings:</p> <p><u>33.2%</u> About the same <u>20.1%</u> More positive <u>46.7%</u> More negative</p> |
|---|---|

THIS SURVEY REPRESENTS A RESPONSE OF APPROXIMATELY 42% OF OUR 872 MEMBERS. AN EXCELLENT SAMPLING BY STATISTICAL STANDARDS.