

SENIOR CONFORMATION JUDGES ASSOCIATION, INC. MEMBERS QUESTIONNAIRE JANUARY 1999

ALL QUESTIONNAIRES SHOULD BE RETURNED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE IN ORDER THAT WE MAY GET A CONSENSUS BEFORE OUR ANNUAL MEETING. ALL INFORMATION WILL BE HELD IN STRICT CONFIDENCE AND USED ONLY TO COMPILE TOTAL FIGURES AND PERCENTAGES.

YES NO	
	The first two questions concern AKC Board committee members' (Chairman Dorothy Welsh, Bob Smith and Pat Scully) proposal forwarded by Darrell Hayes' letter of November 18, 1998. We realize the proposal is detailed and covers many aspects; however, speaking in general terms: (please answer 1 & 2 irrespective of your status)
<u>924</u> 0	1. Are you in favor of the proposal as it affects provisional judges?
890	2. Are you in favor of the proposal as it affects judges with three or more Groups?
9900	3. Do you believe judges should be told the specific reasons why they are not granted additional breeds in order that they know how to correct their reported deficiencies?
4 <u>8</u> %	4. Has an AKC Rep ever questioned your placements at any time?
<u> </u>	5. Are you aware of the duties of an AKC Rep as covered in their job description?
0000	6. If you answered "No" to #5, do you believe the judges should be made aware of the Rep's job description?
4700	7. FOR NON GROUP JUDGES. Have you ever been turned down for your request for additional breeds?
<u> - 197</u>	8. FOR GROUP JUDGES. As a Group judge, have you ever been turned down for your request for additional breeds?
834	9. For those approved for 10 or more breeds, have you <u>always</u> received every breed you requested?
<u>+400</u>	10. Have you ever had an experience with an AKC Rep in which you felt their interaction with you was not conducted in a professional manner?
	11. Please furnish us 3 words which best describes your thoughts on AKC's position that the First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution does not apply to dog show judges:
٦	Abuse of Power Facist Reprehensible
	Abuse of Power Facist Reprehensible
` 1	12. How would you rate the overall performance of the AKC in its relationship with its judges? Excellent - 00 8 つ Good 13 Fair 31 つ Poor 42 つ Very Poor 15 つ